



United States District Court

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

AUG 27 AM 11:27

TO: ☒ U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Cathy A. Bencivengo
 FROM: D. Sutton, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/25/2014
 CASE NO. 14CV1829-CAB-RBB DOCUMENT FILED BY: Debra Toner DS DEPUTY
 CASE TITLE: Lynn v. Lew
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Documents Presented for Review and Information

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

LOCAL RULE	DISCREPANCY
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Documents(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memorandum exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	

Date Forwarded: 8/25/2014

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

<input type="checkbox"/>	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court copy retained by chambers ☐

Any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.1.

Dated: 8/26/14

CHAMBERS OF: The Honorable Cathy A. Bencivengo

cc: All Parties

By: lc3cab

Debra Toner Pro Se
P.O. Box 2225
Valley Center, CA 9208
Debttoner@aol.com

US District Court
Southern District of California

REJECTED

Lynn
U
Lew


CASE# 14-CV1829
CAB RBB

Documents presented
for Review and Information

#A first documents are Affidavit's from Plaintiff
and Witness that Plaintiff's Rights for proper procedure
have been violated. Signature was forced without knowledge
of Subject of Document Signed.

#B 2nd - includes a Denial by Plaintiff's Civil Attorney
"Joe La Costa". Included in Denial is laws and codes
that Plaintiff followed. Plaintiff believes this is a civil matter
not criminal

#C 3rd -
Oath of District Attorney presented to Plaintiff AS
"most recent" was dated in 1995. Oath of "Kenneth So"
was and is possibly vacant. Mr. So had sworn in
"Judge Gill" with possible vacant invalid Oath. Rules
of Oath and Constitution of "Oaths procedure" have not
been followed.


8-25-14

